Paraquat-loaded alginate/chitosan nanoparticles: Preparation, characterization and soil sorption studies
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Abstract

Agrochemicals are amongst the contaminants most widely encountered in surface and subterranean hydrological systems. They comprise a variety of molecules, with properties that confer differing degrees of persistence and mobility in the environment, as well as different toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic potentials, which can affect non-target organisms including man. In this work, alginate/chitosan nanoparticles were prepared as a carrier system for the herbicide paraquat. The preparation and physicochemical characterization of the nanoparticles was followed by evaluation of zeta potential, pH, size and polydispersion. The techniques employed included transmission electron microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. The formulation presented a size distribution of 635 ± 12 nm, polydispersity of 0.518, zeta potential of −22.8 ± 2.3 mV and association efficiency of 74.2%. There were significant differences between the release profiles of free paraquat and the herbicide associated with the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles. Tests showed that soil sorption of paraquat, either free or associated with the nanoparticles, was dependent on the quantity of organic matter present. The results presented in this work show that association of paraquat with alginate/chitosan nanoparticles alters the release profile of the herbicide, as well as its interaction with the soil, indicating that this system could be an effective means of reducing negative impacts caused by paraquat.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Agrochemicals have become the product class most widely encountered in surface and subterranean hydrological systems worldwide, due to their extensive use in agriculture and in urban areas. They comprise a variety of molecules possessing different properties that confer varying degrees of environmental persistence and mobility, as well as different toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic potentials. They can affect the endocrine systems of non-target organisms, including humans [1]. Paraquat (1′-dimethyl-4,4′-bipiridyl), a non-selective contact herbicide, is a bi- quaternary ammonium compound, which is normally synthesized as the dichloride salt. It was introduced in the 1960s, and is today used in over 100 countries in plantations of tobacco, cotton, rice, coffee, sugar cane, beans, apples, soy, grapes and other crops [2]. Paraquat (as well as diquat) is also extensively used in direct sowing management systems, either alone or in mixtures with other herbicides, as well as for weed control in uncultivated areas, pasture renewal and pre-harvest drying [3]. According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency [4], the acceptable concentration limit for paraquat in drinking water is 0.03 mg L\textsuperscript{−1}, and the toxicity limit with respect to aquatic organisms is 15 mg L\textsuperscript{−1}. Its Environmental Danger Potential classification is II (very dangerous), and its toxicological classification is type II (highly toxic) (Fig. 1).

In plants, paraquat interferes with the intracellular electron transfer system, inhibiting reduction of NADP to NADPH during photosynthesis, when the superoxide radical (O₂\textsuperscript{−}), hydroxyl radical (OH\textsuperscript{−}) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) are formed. Studies have suggested that it is these species that are responsible for the tissue damage. They are unstable, and react rapidly with fatty acids, causing lesions in membranes, proteins and DNA. There are no recognized lethal doses of paraquat for animals. However, the average lethal doses (LD\textsubscript{50}) for oral administration have been
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Fig. 1. Chemical structure of paraquat dichloride.

The objective of the present work was to prepare alginate/chitosan (AG/CS) nanoparticles, and to characterize their association with paraquat using measurements of size (hydro-
dynamic diameter), zeta potential (colloidal stability), polymer chemical stability as a function of time (by analysis of solution pH changes), and the percentage loading of the nanoparticles with the herbicide, together with physico-chemical characterization (by transmission electron microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy). In vitro release tests, and sorption tests employing different soils, were used to identify the changes that occurred when the herbicide was associated with the nanoparticles. AG/CS nanoparticles are classically used as carrier systems for pharmaceuticals, however in the present work they were used as a carrier for the herbicide paraquat, since their biodegradability can not only help to reduce environmental impacts, but also assist in the controlled release of the chemical. The ultimate goal was to produce an environmentally safer and more efficient carrier system. A further advantage of AG/CS nanoparticle carrier systems is that they are simple to prepare, which makes them a useful alternative in agricultural applications, as well as elsewhere.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Paraquat dichloride (Pestan®), chitosan, alginate, AOT (sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate) and 1-hexasulfonic acid (sodium salt) were obtained from Sigma Chemical Company. Methanol was provided by J.T. Baker. Deionized water was supplied from a Milli-Q system (Millipore). All other reagents were of analytical grade. Additional materials used were 0.22 μm polyethersulfone membranes and 30 kDa regenerated cellulose ultrafiltration filters (Millipore), cellulose membranes with molecular exclusion pore size of 12 kDa (Spectrapore), and a centrifuge.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles

The AG/CS nanoparticles were prepared according to the proce-
dure described by Sarmento [18]. Firstly, a sodium alginate solution (0.063%, m/v) was prepared, to which was slowly added 7.5 mL of 18 mM calcium chloride solution, over 60 min with mechanical stir-
ing. Twenty-five milliliter of chitosan solution were then added to the first solution, over 90 min. Preparation of the chitosan solution employed 1 M acetic acid, with agitation for 12 h, due to its low solu-
bility. After preparation, the nanoparticles were stored in an amber flask for subsequent characterization. Paraquat was incorporated in the alginate solution, to a final concentration of 200 μg L−1. Samples of the AG/CS nanoparticles, either with or without paraquat, were lyophilized prior to the DSC and FTIR analyses.

2.2.2. Size and polydispersion measurements

The dynamic light scattering technique was used to determine the average size (as hydrodynamic diameter) and polydispersity of the particles. The analyses were performed with a 1:10 (v/v) dilution of the nanoparticle suspensions, using a Zeta Plus® particle analyzer with detector at a fixed angle of 90° and at a temperature of 25 °C. The size distribution and polydispersion measurements were expressed as the averages of 10 readings [19–21].

2.2.3. Zeta potential measurements

Zeta potential values (mV) were determined using the Zeta Plus® analyzer, after a 1:10 (v/v) dilution of the nanoparticle sus-
pensions, and expressed as the average of 10 readings.
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2.2.4. AG/CS nanoparticles determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The suspension of AG/CS nanoparticles was examined in a JEOL 1200EX II microscope (JEOL, Akishima, Japan) operating at 80 kV. In order to perform the TEM observations, the nanoparticles loaded with paraquat were first diluted in water, after which a negative fixation technique was applied [22]. In this technique, the diluted suspension was mixed with 2% uranyl acetate solution; a drop of the mixture was deposited onto a standard copper grid covered by a holey carbon film, and dried at ambient temperature before observation. After evaporation of the liquid, the nanoparticles were fixed on the carbon film of the grid, and could be visualized in the microscope.

2.2.5. Differential scanning calorimetry

Samples (5 mg portions) were placed in pans in a TA Instruments Q100 calorimeter, and heated from 25 to 250 °C, at a scanning rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, under nitrogen flow. An empty pan served as a reference, and pure indium was used to calibrate the standard temperature. Measurements were performed for all samples (paraquat, physical mixture of sodium alginate and chitosan polymers, AG/CS nanoparticles, physical mixture of paraquat and AG/CS nanoparticles, and paraquat-loaded AG/CS nanoparticles).

2.2.6. Characterization of microspheres by infrared spectroscopy

The experiments were carried out using an Agilent spectrophotometer in the range from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹, with 128 scans per sample and 2 cm⁻¹ resolution. Paraquat, the physical mixture of sodium alginate and chitosan polymers, AG/CS nanoparticles, the physical mixture of paraquat and AG/CS nanoparticles, and paraquat-loaded AG/CS nanoparticles were analyzed using KBr pellets.

2.2.7. Chemical stability measurements

Measurement of the pH of the nanoparticle suspensions, as a function of time, was used to examine the chemical stability of the polymers in the formulations [23]. These measurements were continued for a period of 60 days, using a potentiometer calibrated with pH 7.0 and pH 4.0 buffer solutions.

2.2.8. Measurement of the percentage loading of paraquat in the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles

The percentage loading of paraquat in the nanoparticles was determined by the ultrafiltration/centrifugation technique. The samples of nanoparticles containing paraquat were centrifuged in ultrafiltration filters composed of regenerated cellulose with 30 kDa molecular exclusion pore size (Microcon, Millipore), and the filtrate was analyzed using a high performance liquid chromatograph (Varian). The percentage loading was determined as the difference between the concentration of the herbicide in the filtrate and the total concentration (100%) in the nanoparticle suspension [12,23].

The chromatographic analyses were conducted using a mobile phase consisting of 3.5 mL triethanolamine and 1.0 g sodium hexasulfonic acid in 1 L deionized water, at pH 2.5 (adjusted using phosphoric acid) and flow rate of 2.3 mL min⁻¹. Paraquat was separated at 35 °C on a Gemini RP-18 column (150 mm × 4.60 mm, 5 μm, Phenomenex, Torrance, USA), and detected at a wavelength of 257 nm using a UV detector. The injection volume was 20 μL, and all samples were previously filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane. Total paraquat (100%) present in the nanoparticle suspension was determined after diluting the suspension with methanol. This dissolved the polymer, resulting in complete release of the paraquat, which was then quantified from the analytical curve (in a concentration range of 15–55 μM; peak area = 0.1837 × 10⁶ [paraquat] − 0.197 × 10⁴, r = 0.9995).

2.2.9. Release of paraquat from the alginate nanoparticles

The release profiles of paraquat, either free or associated with the nanoparticles, were determined using a two-compartment system, with donor and receptor compartments separated by a cellulose membrane with molecular exclusion pore size of 1000 Da, under agitation [24]. The experiment was conducted under dilution “sink” conditions. Two milliliter aliquots were withdrawn from the receptor compartment at intervals of 15, 30 and 60 min, over a total of 480 min, and quantified by HPLC (using the analytical conditions described for the percentage loading measurements). The receptor compartment was filled with buffer after withdrawal of each aliquot, to maintain a constant volume. The areas of the chromatograms obtained were converted into the percentage of herbicide released, with calibration using a standard solution of free paraquat in water.

2.2.9.1. Mathematical modeling of release profiles. The semi-empirical Korsmeyer–Peppas model [25] was used to analyze the behavior of paraquat release from the alginate nanoparticles. The model is described by:

\[ \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = k t^n \]  

where, \( M_t/M_\infty \) is the proportion of compound released in time t, k is the kinetic constant, and n is the exponent (which reflects the type of release mechanism). Korsmeyer and Peppas proposed that values of \( n \leq 0.43 \) are indicative of release mechanisms that follow Fick’s Law, while \( n > 0.85 \) indicates that the mechanisms are governed by relaxation processes, defined as Case II type transport. Intermediate values (0.43 < n < 0.85) suggest anomalous behavior, with non-Fickian release kinetics (and a combination of diffusion and relaxation of the polymeric chains).

2.2.9.2. Sorption assays. Soil sorption kinetics experiments were performed by adding 20 mL of 0.01 M CaCl₂ solution containing 50 μM of paraquat (either free or associated with the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles) to 0.05 g of soil. The mixture was placed in an Erlemeyer flask, maintained under agitation (100 rpm) at 25 °C. 1 mL aliquots were periodically removed, and the paraquat content quantified by HPLC (Section 2.2.8). At the same time, 1 mL volumes of 0.01 M CaCl₂ were added to the system, to maintain the same volume. The total time period was 3 h, and the tests were performed in triplicate. The aliquots withdrawn were centrifuged for 5 min at 2000 rpm, the supernatant filtered using a 0.22 μm filter, and the paraquat content measured by HPLC. The soil sorption experiments were performed using two different soil types, collected in Amazonia, denoted Iara and Carvoeiro, whose characteristics are described in Table 1.
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first order</td>
<td>[ \log(q_e - q_t) = \log(q_e) - \frac{k_1}{2.303} \times t ]</td>
<td>Where ( k_1 ) is the pseudo-first order rate constant (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} )), ( q_e ) is the amount of paraquat adsorbed at equilibrium (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} )), and ( q_t ) is the amount of paraquat adsorbed at time ( t ) (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} )).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second order</td>
<td>[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{(k_2 	imes q_e^2)} + \frac{t}{q_e} \times t ]</td>
<td>Where ( k_2 ) is the pseudo-second order rate constant (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} )), ( q_e ) is the amount of paraquat adsorbed at equilibrium (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} )), and ( q_t ) is the amount of paraquat adsorbed at time ( t ) (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} )).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparticle diffusion</td>
<td>[ q_t = k_p \times t^{1/2} + I ]</td>
<td>Where ( k_p ) (( \text{min}^{-0.5} )) is the rate constant, ( I ) provides an indication of the boundary layer effect and ( q_t ) is the amount of paraquat adsorbed at time ( t ) (( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} )).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following quantification of the paraquat content of the samples, the soil sorption kinetic curves were constructed, and analyzed using different sorption kinetics models (pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order and intra-particle diffusion) (Table 2). The sorption at equilibrium was calculated as the difference between the herbicide concentration in the initial solution and in the solution after attainment of equilibrium with the soil [26].

2.2.10. Assessment of the influences of organic matter and soil quantity on the sorption of paraquat (either free or associated with the nanoparticles)

The effect of the variables soil organic matter (using the lara and Carvoeiro soils) and soil mass on paraquat sorption was examined, for both free herbicide and herbicide associated with the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles. Experimental optimization was achieved using a 3^2 factorial design, with the numbers 1, 0 and −1 indicating the levels used. The quantities of organic matter employed for the different levels were 24.66% (100% lara soil), 17.01% (50% lara and 50% Carvoeiro) and 9.37% (100% Carvoeiro soil), and three different quantities of soil were used (0.05 g, 0.025 g and 0.01 g). Experiments were performed in duplicate, with aliquots withdrawn after achievement of equilibrium, as described in Section 2.2.10. The data were analyzed using the Statgraphics (v. 5.1) program to obtain the surface responses as well as the influence of each variable on paraquat sorption.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization and stability of the nanoparticle formulations

Characterization of the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles containing paraquat was achieved using the particle size, zeta potential and pH values of the formulations. The hydrodynamic diameter, zeta potential and polydispersion values were 635 ± 12 nm, −22.8 ± 2.3 mV and 0.518, respectively. The measured particle sizes are in line with values reported in the literature for the preparation method employed [18,27,28]. The zeta potentials are indicative of a system possessing good colloidal stability, since they generally exceed 30 mV [12]. The increase observed in the case of the nanoparticles associated with paraquat could be due to rearrange-

Fig. 2. Transmission electron microscopy images of AG/CS nanoparticles loaded with paraquat. Magnification levels: (A) ×100,000; (B) ×30,000; (C) ×30,000; (D) ×100,000. The bars represent the scale of each image.
ment of the polymeric chains in the presence of the chemical, hence exposing a greater number of ionized groups on the nanoparticle surfaces [18,27]. The polydispersity index values of the AG/CS nanoparticles were high (>0.2), suggesting that the particles did not show good homogeneity, as has been found previously [29].

The morphology of the nanoparticles was analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 2), and it was observed that the particles were separate individual spheres in the size range 197–305 nm, with dense, solid structures. Similar features have been observed previously for nanocapsules composed of poly-ε-caprolactone [30]. The sizes of the nanoparticles measured by TEM were smaller than the sizes obtained using the dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique. This apparent discrepancy between the two results can be explained by the dehydration of the AG/CS hydrogel nanoparticles during sample preparation. In addition, DLS measures the apparent size (hydrodynamic radius) of a particle, including hydrodynamic layers that form around hydrophilic particles (such as those composed of AG/CS), leading to an overestimation of particle size. Furthermore, the size distribution of the particles was not homogeneous, as confirmed by the high value of the polydispersivity index (0.518).

The techniques of differential scanning calorimetry and infrared spectroscopy were employed in order to achieve a better characterization of the interaction between paraquat and the AG/CS nanoparticles. The DSC results are shown in Fig. 3, for the rates of heat absorption by paraquat, the physical mixture of sodium alginate and chitosan polymers, AG/CS nanoparticles, the physical mixture of paraquat and AG/CS nanoparticles, and paraquat-loaded AG/CS nanoparticles.

In Fig. 3a, a narrow endothermic peak can be seen at 70 °C, due to fusion of the paraquat. In Fig. 3b and c, broad endothermic peaks can be seen at 62 and 68 °C, for the physical mixture of the alginate and chitosan polymers, and for the AG/CS nanoparticles. These values are in agreement with those reported in the literature [31], and are related to the loss of water associated with the hydrophilic groups of the polymers [18,31]. The thermogram for the alginate/chitosan physical mixture shows a broader endothermic peak at 62 °C, which probably reflects overlap of the two separate endothermic peaks resulting from the individual contributions of the alginate and chitosan polymers.

Fig. 3d shows the results for the physical mixture of AG/CS nanoparticles and paraquat, with an intense broad endothermic peak at 68 °C resulting from the combination of the peaks generated by the herbicide and the alginate and chitosan polymers present in the nanoparticle structure. However, this behavior was not observed in the case of the AG/CS nanoparticles loaded with paraquat, with no evidence of the narrow herbicide peak at around 70 °C, and the presence of only the broad peak corresponding to the AG/CS nanoparticles indicating that the herbicide was well dispersed throughout the nanoparticle matrix. The disappearance of the endothermic peak for paraquat is an indication of the possible interaction of paraquat with the AG and CS polymers composing the nanoparticle structure. This could be due to electrostatic association with the negatively charged carboxylic groups of the alginate polymer, since paraquat is positively charged, or to van der Waals type interactions with the chitosan polymer. It is also possible that spaces between the polymer chains could provide a favorable environment for dispersion of the herbicide within the nanoparticles [18,31].

Infrared spectra were acquired for the samples, in the same way as for the DSC analyses. Fig. 4 shows the absorption spectrum of the paraquat molecule, from which the main bands can be seen at 2990 cm⁻¹, possibly corresponding to an aromatic or alkene=C–H bond (or an alkane C–H bond), at 1633 cm⁻¹, which is associated with the stretching vibration of C=N/C–N, and at 1508 cm⁻¹, due to the weak vibration of H₂C–N⁺ bonds [32].

The absorption peaks corresponding to the functional groups present in the alginate and chitosan polymers can be seen in Fig. 4b and c. Peaks near 1612 and 1416 cm⁻¹ are associated with the carbonyl groups of alginate (symmetric COO⁻ stretching vibration, and asymmetric COO⁻ stretching vibration, respectively). The absorbance peak at 1152 cm⁻¹ reflects the amino groups of chitosan. The broad peaks from 1615 to 1609 cm⁻¹, and from 1416 to 1413 cm⁻¹, are observed after complexation of alginate with the chitosan polymer, however no differences were observed between the spectra obtained for the physical mixture of alginate and chitosan polymers and for the AG/CS nanoparticles [32].

Fig. 4d shows the spectrum for the physical mixture of paraquat and AG/CS nanoparticles. The main herbicide absorption bands are present, together with those of the alginate and chitosan polymers. In the case of the herbicide-loaded nanoparticles (Fig. 4e), the characteristic herbicide absorption bands do not appear. This could be indicative of a possible interaction between positively charged
Fig. 4. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis of the pesticide, polymers and nanoparticles. The samples were (a) paraquat; (b) sodium alginate/chitosan physical mixture; (c) AG/CS nanoparticles; (d) AG/CS nanoparticles + paraquat physical mixture; (e) paraquat-loaded AG/CS nanoparticles.

that reported for the herbicide clomazone (59%) [29]. This difference is due to the presence of charges on the paraquat molecule, in contrast to the highly soluble clomazone, whose chemical structure does not possess any charges.

3.2. Paraquat release assays

Experiments were performed to evaluate the influence of association of paraquat with the nanoparticle polymeric matrices, compared to the herbicide alone (in the absence of nanoparticles). Fig. 5 presents the percentage release curves for paraquat, either free or associated with the AG/CS nanoparticles, as a function of time. The release profile was significantly altered in the presence of the nanoparticles, with near 100% release after 8 h, 2 h longer than the time required for complete release of free herbicide. This alteration of the release profile could be advantageous in use of the herbicide, due to reduction of the amount of the chemical needed to control weeds, as well as reduced environmental risks, lower energy costs (since fewer applications would be required, compared to conventional formulations), and increased safety of the personnel involved in field spraying.

A better understanding of the mechanism of paraquat release from the nanoparticles was obtained by analysis using the Korsmeyer–Peppas model [25]. The release mechanism is dependent on factors including desorption from the surface and diffusion through the pores of the matrix or the polymeric wall, as well as disintegration, dissolution and erosion of the polymeric wall [12,33]. Investigation of the release profile can provide important information concerning the processes governing the release of a chemical [33].

In this model, the relationship between time and the amount of substance released is described by a simple exponential equation, which has been used previously to determine the release of compounds from polymeric systems, especially when the release mechanisms are unknown, or when more than one mechanism is involved [25].

Fig. 6 shows the linearization obtained using the Korsmeyer–Peppas model ($\ln M_t/M_\infty$ as a function of $\ln t$) applied to the release curve of paraquat contained in the AG/CS nanoparticles. The value of the release coefficient ($n$) was 0.83, which indicates that the release process was governed by mechanisms displaying non-Fickian kinetics, as previously observed for clomazone [29].
The value of the release constant ($k = 0.012 \text{ min}^{-n}$) was very small, compared to values for other systems described in the literature [29,34,35], and the correlation coefficient was 0.961.

A release system involving paraquat interspersed with aluminosilicate compounds has been described previously [36], where the paraquat molecules were released at a slow rate from the aluminosilicate layers due to ionic interactions between the paraquat and the aluminosilicates. It is likely that the same mechanism may be important in the present case, since release of the paraquat molecules according to the mechanism described above involves the rupture of ionic bonds between the paraquat and the polymeric alginate chains.

3.3. Sorption of paraquat, either free or associated with the alginate/chitosan nanoparticles

Fig. 7 shows that sorption equilibrium was achieved in approximately 120 min, with a decrease in the paraquat concentration from 50 to 2.5 $\mu$M after 60 min. Different mathematical models (pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order and intra-particle diffusion) were applied to the kinetic curves in order to obtain information on the adsorption process. The best agreement was obtained using the pseudo-second order model (Fig. 8, Table 3).

The pseudo-second order equation is a model widely applied to sorption kinetics curves, since it describes sorption onto heterogeneous surfaces [37]. As shown in Table 3, this model [38,39] best explained the sorption mechanism, and provided the best linear correlation coefficients ($r^2$).

The type of kinetics, the kinetic constant ($k_2$), and the capacity for sorption ($q_e$) of paraquat by diatomaceous earth and modified clays have previously been found to be similar to the results obtained in the present work using the lara soil [40,41].

The value obtained for $k_2$ was directly related to the high initial concentration of paraquat (50 $\mu$M), because the greater the initial concentration, the longer the time required to reach equilibrium. Previous work has shown that paraquat has a strong tendency to interact with soil (and other materials) due to its charge, which enables ionic bonding (as well as other bonding mechanisms) since soil organic matter normally possesses predominantly negative surface charge [42-44]. Importantly, where the process is governed by ionic bonding, adsorption of organic compounds and their metabolites involves ionized (or easily ionizable) carboxylic and phenolic hydroxyl groups present in the humic substances [44,45].

Given the reasonable agreement of the experimental results with the pseudo-second order adsorption kinetics model, it can be postulated that the soil presented a variety of different adsorption sites. At these sites, the attachment of paraquat molecules occurs from the aqueous phase, and varies under different environmental conditions. The sorption of paraquat species can reasonably be speculated to occur in two steps: (i) Transfer of sorbate present in the aqueous solution to sorbent sites; (ii) complexation/ion exchange at the sites. A previous study comparing the influences of pH and salinity on paraquat sorption by charcoal showed that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters obtained for the fits of different kinetic models applied to sorption of paraquat by the lara soil (at 25 °C).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudo-first order</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$ ($\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 6. Linearization of the release curve of paraquat associated with the AG/CS nanoparticles by the Korsmeyer–Peppas mathematical model. The symbol (■) denotes experimental data points, expressed as the mean of three experiments.

Fig. 7. Sorption isotherm of paraquat herbicide by the lara soil. $q_t$ is the amount of paraquat sorbed at time $t$. The symbol (■) denotes experimental data points (25 °C), and the error bars represent the standard deviation of three experiments.

Fig. 8. Pseudo-second order model applied to the sorption isotherm of paraquat with the lara soil (Fig. 7). $q_t$ is the amount of paraquat sorbed at time $t$. The symbol (■) denotes experimental data points (25 °C), and the error bars represent the standard deviation of three experiments.
the sorption process was initially fast, and progressed to a slower second stage until achieving a plateau at equilibrium [42].

Previous studies reported in the literature [43,44] have shown that adsorption of paraquat on a goethite (clay) surface was determined by electrostatic repulsion between paraquat and the positively charged goethite surface, which seemed to be the main factor preventing attachment of the herbicide. However, the presence of humic acid adsorbed onto the goethite surface strongly enhanced paraquat adsorption. In the present study, the Lara soil contained around 25% of organic matter in its composition, enabling it to effectively adsorb paraquat, as shown by the $q_e$ value obtained ($q_e = 7.88 \, \text{mmol g}^{-1}$), supporting the existence of ionic bonding between the herbicide and the humic substances present in the soil [37].

3.3.1. Optimization of sorption conditions: factorial design

An experimental optimization was performed for the process of sorption of paraquat (either free or associated with the nanoparticles) by the two different soil types. This considered the influence, on herbicide sorption, of the amount of soil organic matter as well as the quantity of soil. The experimental combinations employed is described in Table 3. The results obtained were analyzed using Statgraphics Plus (v. 5.1). The variable that most influenced sorption of free paraquat was the amount of soil, with a negative effect, while there was a positive influence of the soil organic matter content, as shown in the Pareto graph (Fig. 9A).

The sorption of paraquat increased significantly with increase of the amount of organic matter (the organic matter contents of the Lara and Carvoeiro soils were ~25% and ~10%, respectively) (Fig. 9A), which can be explained by the greater availability of functional groups present in the soil humic substances, and hence of the interaction sites required to increase the paraquat sorption capacity, as explained in section 3.3 [42–44]. From Fig. 9A, it can be seen that increasing the quantity of soil reduced the sorption rate, due to changes in the herbicide/soil ratio. It is notable that there were no observable second order effects arising from the combination of two factors, such as quantity of soil and percentage of organic matter. An empirical linear model (Eq. (2)) was constructed following analysis of the first order paraquat sorption data, using the results of the statistical analysis and the data obtained from the experimental design (Fig. 8). The statistically significant values obtained were fitted by a linear model, using the least squares method ($r = 0.832$):

$$\text{Sorption (\%)} = 19.89 + 7.64 \times \text{organic matter} - 19.89 \times \text{soil mass},$$

$$r = 0.832 \quad (2)$$

The regression coefficient obtained showed that sorption was significantly and differently influenced by the two factors investigated. From Eq. (2), it can be seen that the soil mass exerted the greatest (negative) influence on sorption of paraquat, while the quantity of organic matter showed a positive effect on soil sorption of paraquat. Hence, the model is capable of predicting the sorption of paraquat in soils, based on the quantity of soil and the percentage of organic matter present.

For comparative purposes, the sorption profile was evaluated for paraquat associated with the AG/CS nanoparticles. The experimental optimization results obtained for the paraquat-loaded AG/CS nanoparticle system are illustrated in the Pareto graph (Fig. 9B). There were no significant changes in percentage sorption for different quantities of soil or organic matter content, indicating that the herbicide remained preferentially associated with the AG/CS nanoparticles, and was not released for sorption by the soil. Physico-chemical characterization of the paraquat/AG/CS nanoparticle system by DSC and FTIR showed that the herbicide interacted with the alginate and chitosan chains by electrostatic attraction and van der Waals forces, by means of which it was incorporated into the nanoparticle matrix. The strong interaction of paraquat with the nanoparticles inhibited its release for sorption by the soil, so that soil sorption remained low, even after increasing the organic matter content and/or the quantity of the soil.

There are reports in the literature that polymeric materials possessing multiple charges can be used to remove agents such as paraquat from soils, which indicates that the high charge densities of such materials enable desorption of the chemical from the soil, and sorption into the polyelectrolytic polymer matrix [46]. In the present work, the polymers used in the nanoparticle composition (AG and CS) possessed high charge densities, enabling strong interaction with the herbicide (as shown by the DSC and FTIR results) and inhibition of desorption processes that could result in soil sorption, hence explaining the results illustrated in Fig. 9. This feature should greatly improve the availability of the herbicide during use, since secondary processes (such as sorption and degradation) are reduced [41,44].

For comparative purposes, surface response graphs for sorption of paraquat, either free or associated with the AG/CS nanoparticles,
are provided in Fig. 9C and D. From these, it is clear that the herbicide sorption profile is modified according to the method used to apply it to the soil. It is already recognized that the sorption of herbicides can also be influenced by factors including the type of soil, temperature, pH and amount of organic matter present [42, 44, 47].

4. Conclusions

The results presented here provide valuable information concerning the application of alginate/chitosan nanoparticles as a carrier system for paraquat. The herbicide showed good association with the nanoparticles, which altered its release profile. Sorption tests, using either free or associated paraquat, showed that the soil sorption profile was reduced when paraquat was associated with the nanoparticles, hence improving the herbicidal action. The formulation of paraquat with AG/CS nanoparticles therefore shows promising potential for future use in agricultural applications, offering increased duration of action of the chemical on specific targets, while reducing problems of environmental toxicity.
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